

Pre-class Warm-up!!!

True or False?

The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ has 3 eigenvectors, no two of which are scalar multiples of each other.

a. True

b. False

It has up to scalar multiple only the e-vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with e-values 2 and 3.

Do you remember last time we did a lot with the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$?

It has eigenvectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ with eigenvalues 7, -1.

6.2 Diagonalization of matrices

New vocabulary:

- diagonalize, diagonalizable, similar

We learn:

- the connection between eigenvalues, eigenvectors and diagonalization
- how to diagonalize a matrix (when it is diagonalizable).
- Some theorems: a criterion for diagonalizability; independence of eigenvectors when eigenvalues are distinct; distinct eigenvalues implies diagonalizable.

What we don't really learn:

- why we would want to diagonalize matrices

Definition. Square matrices A and B are **similar** if there is an invertible matrix P so that

$$B = P^{-1} A P$$

A square matrix A is diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix. So:

$$D = P^{-1} A P \text{ is diagonal for some invertible } P.$$

Definition. Square matrices A and B are similar if there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix P so that $P^{-1}AP = B$

A square matrix A is diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Try the matrix $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ so $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Calculate

$$P^{-1}AP = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -1 \\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is diagonal.

Wow!! The columns of P are the e -vectors of A and the diagonal entries of $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ are the corresponding e -values.

Theorem. Let A, P, D be $n \times n$ matrices with P invertible and D diagonal.

Then $P^{-1}AP = D$ if and only if the columns of P are eigenvectors for A with eigenvalues the diagonal entries in D .

Proof. $P^{-1}AP = D \Leftrightarrow AP = PD$

Write the columns of P as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n

$P = [v_1 | v_2 | \dots | v_n]$. Write $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$

$AP = PD$ means: for each i , $Av_i = i^{\text{th}}$ col of AP
 $\approx \lambda_i v_i = i^{\text{th}}$ column of PD .

eg. $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -1 \\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -1 \\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -1 \\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

\Leftrightarrow for each i , $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$

\Leftrightarrow for each i , v_i is an e-vector of A with e-value λ_i \square

Theorem 1. An $n \times n$ matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if A has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

Proof. A has n linearly independent e-vectors

\Leftrightarrow there is an invertible matrix P with columns that are e-vectors of A

\Leftrightarrow there is invertible P with $P^{-1}AP = D$ is diagonal.

$\Leftrightarrow A$ is diagonalizable.

Example. The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not diagonalizable.

Proof. We show A does not have 2 independent e -vectors. Find e -values:

Characteristic poly: $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$
 $= (1-\lambda)^2$ Roots: 1 (twice).

To find e -vectors: find $\text{Null}(A - \lambda I)$
 $= \text{Null} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

1 free variable. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a basis for

the nullspace. There is one e -vector

up to scalar multiple. A is not diagonalizable.

Also $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 17 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ are not diagonalizable.

Like 6.2 questions 1-28

Find whether or not the following matrices are diagonalizable. If so, find P so that

$P^{-1}AP = D$ is diagonal.

1. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -12 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution: char poly $(1-\lambda)(3-\lambda)$. Two e -values
1: find nullspace of $A - I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

It has basis $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $\text{Null} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has basis $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Take $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Like 6.2 questions 1-28

Find whether or not the following matrices are diagonalizable. If so, find P so that

$P^{-1}AP = D$ is diagonal.

1. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -12 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

Two more matrices:

3. $A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -14 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ 4. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution 2. Char. poly = $\det \begin{bmatrix} -5-\lambda & -12 \\ 3 & 7-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 7\lambda - 35 + 36 = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 = (\lambda - 1)^2$

$\lambda = 1$ is the only root, twice.

Find e-vectors: $\text{Null } A - I = \text{Null} \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -12 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$

Echelon form $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Basis for nullspace

$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. There is only one e-vector up to scalar multiple. A is not diagonalizable

Question: How many distinct eigenvalues does matrix 4. have? If you get to it: is it diagonalizable?

a. 0

b. 1

c. 2

Theorem 2. If A has eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_k associated to **distinct** eigenvalues, then v_1, \dots, v_k are independent.

Proof. Let the e-values be $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$
so $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ for each i ,

suppose v_1, \dots, v_k were dependent

Let $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_k v_k = 0$ be a non-zero dependence relation. Reorder the

v_i and let $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_r v_r$ be a shortest such relation. Apply the matrix $A - \lambda_r I$.

$$(A - \lambda_r I) v_i = Av_i - \lambda_r v_i = \lambda_i v_i - \lambda_r v_i \\ = 0 \quad \text{if } i=r \\ \neq 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq r,$$

We get a shorter relation if $r > 1$

This is a contradiction. The

v_1, \dots, v_k are independent.

Theorem 3. If the $n \times n$ matrix A has n distinct eigenvalues, it is diagonalizable.

Page 354 Question 32

Show that if $n \times n$ matrices A and B are similar, then they have the same characteristic equation, and therefore have the same eigenvalues.

Page 354 Question 29

Prove: if the matrices A and B are similar and the matrices B and C are similar, then the matrices A and C are similar.